Interview Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/623,443	LUKE ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Philip C. Tucker	1712	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTC) personnel):
(1) Philip C. Tucker.	(3) <u>Priscilla Ferguson</u> .
(2) <u>Randall Brown</u> .	(4)
Date of Interview: 11 April 2006.	
Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☒ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant	2)⊠ applicant's representative]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)□ No.
Claim(s) discussed: <u>all</u> .	
Identification of prior art discussed:	
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached.	g) was not reached. h) N/A.
the claim language. It was agreed that this may distinguize (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amer allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached. THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER	e that the claims could be amended to include that the used as a spacer, with respect to specific functions added to sh over the applied art which teach cement compositions. Independent which the examiner agreed would render the claims copy of the amendments that would render the claims ed.) ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE ne last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS R OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS TERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required